EXTRACT FROM REPORT - Only matters considered at Core Strategy examination and included in the Inspector's report have been retained in this report.

**REPORT TO:** Planning and New Communities Joint

2 March 2010

Portfolio Holders

**AUTHOR/S:** Corporate Manager (Planning and Sustainable

Communities) / Senior Planning Policy Officer

# RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION BY CAMBRIDGESHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL AND PETERBOROUGH CITY COUNCIL ON THE PROPOSED SUBMISSION VERSION OF THE MINERALS AND WASTE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

# **Purpose**

- The purpose of this report is to agree the Council's response to a consultation currently being carried out by the Cambridgeshire County Council and Peterborough City Council. The consultation is on the proposed Submission version of the Minerals and Waste Development Plan (MWDP) which has been jointly prepared by these two councils and which is intended to be submitted to the Secretary of State in July 2010.
- This Plan sets the framework for all minerals and waste developments over the period 2006 –2026. It allocates sites to ensure a steady supply of minerals including those necessary to supply much of the growth agenda. It also facilitates the provision of modern waste management facilities so that the way the Cambridgeshire manages waste will become much more sustainable.
- The consultation is for six weeks from 15 February to 29 March 2010.
- The full consultation can be seen on the County's website. http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/environment/planning/mineralswasteframework/
- This is a key decision because it is likely to affect many of the communities across the district. Some of the allocated mineral sites may have an impact on their local communities such as at Cottenham, Willingham, Over and Barrington. Also there will be a significant impact on communities living or working in the wards in the District adjacent to the A14 because clay borrowpits are identified in the minerals plan Fen Drayton; Swavesey; Longstanton; Bar Hill; Oakington; Girton; Lolworth; Boxworth; Dry Drayton; Conington. The proposed waste sites are to serve the whole of the district and so their locations will have a district wide benefits.
- 6 It was first published in the December 2009 Forward Plan.

# **Executive Summary**

The report outlines the Council's response to the Minerals and Waste Development Plan Consultation. It indicates the previous consultations that have taken place and when South Cambs has responded to these. Few changes have been made to the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (MWCS). *Minerals* - Only one site is allocated as a strategic site within South Cambs – Barrington for chalk marl extraction. Two sites are identified in MWCS as being broad locations for sand and gravel extraction –

Cottenham and Needingworth both of which the Council objects to until more detailed information is made available. The Council is concerned that there is no routeing strategy policy as had been requested in earlier consultations. The traffic impact of minerals and waste developments is not adequately covered in the MWCS. The measures to address impact of the traffic should be included in a policy. Need for recognition that it is not just the increase in traffic but the nature of this traffic – i.e. HCVs. Concern that air quality issues are not adequately addressed in MWCS. Waste - Council remains concerned at waste strategy in MWCS. Regret that Cambridgeshire's Household Recycling Strategy is not part of supporting documents to MWDP. Concern that no strategy for waste transfer stations if they are essential element of waste strategy. Mention made of RECAP Design Guide SPD and great importance attached to this document. South Cambs concerned that it may not be robust enough to achieve that aims of recycling, waste minimisation. MWCS requiring future new development to contribute to Household Recycling Centres (HRC) with section 106 - question whether this can be asked for. If review of East of England Plan results in more housing growth would need to review MWDP to revise waste strategy. Waste Water Treatment Works have a 400m safeguarding area around them - Council concerned at why arbitrary figure of 400m. Comments made on sites for HRCs within S Cambs - Cambridge East, Cambridge Northern Fringe; Northstowe and Cambridge South.

Minerals and Waste Site Specific Proposals DPD (MWSSP) South Cambs repeats its concerns about mineral safeguarding areas and the policy has not been revised to clarify that not all the areas safeguarded would be worked; These areas still extend over environmentally sensitive areas.

#### **Background**

- 8 Cambridgeshire County Council is preparing jointly with Peterborough City Council the MWDP as part of its new Local Development Framework (LDF). This will replace the adopted Waste Local Plan 2003 and the Cambridgeshire Aggregates (Minerals) Local Plan adopted 1991. The procedures for preparing the MWDP have been lengthy and have included significant public consultation<sup>1</sup>. South Cambs has responded at all the relevant stages.
- In September/October 2008 they carried out a Preferred Options 2 Consultation, which set out the County Council's preferred options in terms of policies that will guide minerals and waste development until 2026, and included site-specific proposals. South Cambridgeshire District Council responded to this consultation in October 2008.
- During the Preferred Options 2 consultation the County Council received representations from stakeholders who put forward a number of new sites or suggestions to amend existing proposed sites. The County Council carried out two further consultations on these new/ amended sites early in 2009 and the Council responded to those sites that were within South Cambridgeshire in March 2009.

#### **The Current Consultation**

11 The MWDP comprises

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public consultation on MWDP - Two rounds of Issues and Options (June 2005 and January 2006); Two rounds of Preferred Options (November 2006 and October 2008); Two rounds of consultation on additional proposed sites (both early 2009)

- Core Strategy (MWCS): a document setting out the strategic vision and objectives, and including a suite of development control policies to guide minerals and waste development
- Site Specific Proposals (MWSSP): a document setting out site specific proposals for minerals and waste development and supporting site specific policies.
- Before the MWDP is submitted to the Secretary of State it has to be subject to a further 6 weeks of consultation. If representations are made during this consultation then a public inquiry will be arranged to consider them once the Plan is formally submitted. Once submitted there will be no opportunities for the Councils to make changes to the Plan. This current version of the Plan is the one that the County Council and Peterborough City Council are intending to submit in July 2010 and will seek to adopt and implement in the future.

Stages	MWDP –	MWDP
_	Core Strategy	Site Specific Policies
Preferred option 2	September / October 2008	September / October 2008
Pre –submission consultation	February / March 2010	February / March 2010
Submission	July 2010	July 2010
Pre-hearing meeting	September 2010	May 2011
Hearing	November 2010	July 2011
Adoption	June 2011	February 2012

#### Issues for consideration

#### MINERALS AND WASTE CORE STRATEGY DPD

- The content of the proposed **Submission Minerals and Waste Core Strategy** (**MWCS**) has only had minor amendments made to it from that which was consulted upon in the Preferred Options 2 in 2008 and to which South Cambs submitted comments. As a result of the additional consultations that the County carried out in 2009 there have been some amendments made to the sites identified and policies and relevant updates have been included on waste and mineral matters.
- The MWCS in this proposed Submission version has strategic allocations for minerals and waste sites plus a list of broad locations within different policies of the where particular uses will be. The specific sites to achieve these policies are contained within the Minerals and Waste Site Specific Proposals (MWSSP).

#### **MINERALS**

- Options for mineral extraction are constrained by the fact that minerals can only be extracted where they occur. Their geological location has greatly influenced the mineral strategy. This strategy has been determined by the location of minerals in relation to the proposed development areas and the implications of extracting minerals on amenity of neighbours, traffic generation through towns and villages etc. There are policies in the MWDP to consider this.
- In the MWCS there is now only one policy that contains a strategic allocation within South Cambs Policy CS9 The Scale and Location of Future Chalk and Marl Extraction. There are broad locations identified in Policy CS4 The Scale and

**Location of Future Sand and Gravel Extraction**. Maps showing the location of the sites are included in Appendix 1.

Table 1

Oita la astissa	L	0
Site location	Location of Proposed	Summary of South Cambs comments
(Proposals	Mineral Workings;	
Map number	Policy Ref from Core	
in brackets)	Strategy and Site	
,	Specific Proposals DPD	
	and number	
Barrington	Chalk marl extraction	No objection to the mineral allocation subject
Quarry,	Chair man extraction	to measures to mitigate the matters raised by
_	CSO The Seele and	Environmental Health and Conservation.
Barrington	CS9 The Scale and	
(Strategic site)	Location of Future Chalk	These are that full Health and Environmental
	Marl Extraction.	Impact Assessments should be carried out
(Map 13)		and that consideration needs to be given to
	SSP M4A – Specific site	the impact of the extension of the quarry on
	allocation for chalk marl	the character of the landscape.
	extraction	
		A site-specific policy could include a
	SSP M9Q - Mineral	requirement to consider these matters.
	consultation areas	Tarabana da anta da an
Cottenham	Sand and gravel extraction	Object to the allocation until such time as more
(Broad	Cana and graver extraction	detailed information is provided on the full
,	CS4 The Scale and	•
location)		environmental impact of the site now being
	Location of Future Sand	identified for extraction. Until this information
(Map 1)	and Gravel –	is available it is not possible to evaluate this
	Principal broad locations	site. A full environmental assessment is
	for sand and gravel	essential at this stage before the Council can
	Cottenham/ Landbeach	determine whether this site should be
	(Central / Southern Zone)	allocated as an allocated site for extraction.
	SSP M1A – Specific site	The Council would need to be assured that the
	allocations for sand and	mitigation measure identified by Environmental
	gravel	Health and Conservation could be adopted to
	9	minimise impact on sensitive receptors.
	SSP M9E - Mineral	This in the second seco
	consultation areas	A site-specific policy is needed for this
	Consultation areas	
		allocation indicating that routing agreements
		are essential before permission is given to
		work this site to ensure that the additional
		lorries generated by the larger scale of
		operation do not adversely affect the residents
		of Cottenham or the gypsies and travellers on
		the nearby Smithy Fen.
Needingworth	Sand and gravel extraction	Object to the allocation until such time as more
(Broad		detailed information is provided on the full
location)	CS4 The Scale and	environmental impact of the site now being
	Location of Future Sand	identified for extraction. Until this information is
(Map 2)	and Gravel –	available it is not possible to evaluate this site
(	Principal broad locations	and decide whether it is an appropriate
	for sand and gravel	allocation
	Needingworth	anought
	(Central / Southern Zone)	The settlements of Willingham and Over are
		_
		very close to the identified site and the Council

SSP M1B – Specific site allocations for sand and gravel

SSP M9R - Mineral consultation areas

would need to be assured that the mitigation measure identified by Environmental Health and Conservation could be adopted to minimise impact on sensitive receptors. The MWSSP should include a site-specific policy to include these matters.

Routing agreements would need to be made to ensure that lorries do not go through the villages of Willingham and Over. These must be a requirement of a site-specific policy for this allocation to be included in the MWSSP.

Minerals would need to continue to be removed from the site via Needingworth and the need for a bypass for Willingham would have to be a requirement included in a site specific policy for this allocation if this site were to be identified for sand and gravel extraction.

# **Traffic and Highways issues**

17 **Routeing Agreements** - The Council proposed routeing agreements in its response to the Preferred Options 2 consultation in October 2008 stating the following

Traffic routeing agreements are a good way of reducing the impact of traffic movements to and from minerals and waste sites on the local community. The MWDP supports such agreements but because routeing agreements are usually done as legal agreements then no specific policy has been included in the plan. Such agreements will be of particular relevance within South Cambridgeshire as heavy lorries carry minerals to the many development sites in the Cambridge Sub-region. Given the scale of all the development proposed this is likely to generate a considerable amount of traffic that must avoid going through the many villages in the District. In particular the Mepal/ Earith area has been identified in the MWDP as an area where there will be large-scale extraction of sand and gravel over the plan period and much of this is likely to have to be transported along roads in South Cambridgeshire to reach the new development areas in the sub-region. The Council would want the MWCS to include a policy to consider providing a routeing strategy for the plan area. This must be an enforceable routeing strategy that mineral and waste traffic must follow. The Council would wish to see included in this policy an identification of those settlements most likely to be affected by minerals and waste traffic so that there can be a requirement for bypasses - e.g. Willingham and Cottenham.

The proposed Submission MWCS does not contain a routeing strategy policy for Cambridgeshire and Peterborough and therefore the Council must object to this and request that the MWCS be revised to include such a policy. The Council is extremely concerned that as a result of the housing and employment growth within the district there will be more traffic on the roads. "Traffic density on Cambridgeshire's rural trunk 'A' roads is 90% higher than the national average and is 38% above average on other 'A' Roads. Over the last 10 years there has been a significant growth in the number of heavy commercial vehicles with five or more axles. The density of HCV traffic on Cambridgeshire's trunk 'A' roads is just under three times the national

average with non-trunk roads nearly twice the national average" <sup>2</sup>. The on-line information provided by the County Council on its consultation pages for the MWDP indicates that ... 'the area around Northstowe is ... likely to be affected, where the construction of the new settlement will draw material from local quarries such as Needingworth via its existing approved quarry HCV route via the A1123, A1096 and the A14.' It is unclear whether the approved quarry HCV route that is mentioned is specific to Needingworth. The MWCS should therefore include a policy to provide a routeing strategy for the plan area rather than it be left to be devised on an ad hoc basis as each planning application is submitted for mineral workings and waste uses.

- Addressing the transport problems of mineral and waste developments The MWCS considers in paragraph 11.5 the measures that could be sought to address the main problems associated with mineral and waste operation related traffic but has not incorporated them into a policy to give them increased weight. These measures include strategic signage for mineral and waste lorry movements; backloading (i.e. bringing out one type of load and taking back another; highway improvements; private haul roads and hours of working. An addition has been made to Policy CS 32

  Traffic and Highways about the Mineral / Waste Planning Authority entering into binding agreements covering some of these measures but this relates only to mineral extraction in the Earith/ Mepal area primarily on Block Fen / Langwood Fen. The policy should be revised to consider the whole of the plan area and to incorporate in a fuller way the measures that can be used to address the traffic problems associated with minerals and waste operations. A separate policy should be included in the plan to consider the Earith / Mepal area.
- Policy CS32 Traffic and Highways gives three criteria that must be considered before permission is given for mineral and waste development one of which is that 'any associated increase in traffic or highway improvements would not cause unacceptable harm to the environment, road safety or residential amenity.' This does not recognise that it is not just the increase in traffic but the nature of the vehicles associated with these types of development i.e. large lorries / HCVs. The County Council has recently been out for consultation on a suggested route map for HCVs for the County and this should be included within Policy CS32 to assist in devising suitable routes for mineral and waste traffic.

# Air quality issues

- South Cambs supports **Policy CS 34 Protecting Surrounding Uses** since it includes consideration of no significant harm to the environment, human health or safety ... or loss to residential or other amenity and which is linked to CS24 Design of sustainable minerals and waste management facilities and CS 32 Traffic & Highways. However the Council is concerned that there is no specific mention of air quality in the context of National Air Quality Objectives pollutants and impact locally. Whist this is mentioned specifically on page 11 of the MWCS in the Sustainability Appraisal under point 5 it is not mentioned elsewhere and is inextricably linked / integrated with to CS 24 and 32. Air quality must be included within Policy 34.
- In paragraph 8.17 there is a list of matters that would need to be considered in the design of minerals and waste facilities. However this should be consistent with the related SPD on the Location and Design of Waste Management Facilities. The local and design criteria should be consistent and an obvious omission is any reference to national air quality objectives.

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Extract from report on Draft Advisory Heavy Commercial Vehicles Route Mao considered by Planning and New Communities Portfolio Holders on 26 January 2010.

#### **WASTE**

# **Spatial strategy**

- South Cambridgeshire District Council has in previous consultation stages of the MWCS expressed concerns at the lack of a strategy for waste and this concern was partly addressed in the Preferred Options 2 with some reservations about the strategy devised for inert waste since sites proposed within South Cambs seemed unsuitable for this use.
- The spatial strategy for municipal waste has largely been determined through documents that have not formed part of the consultation process for the MWCS. In October 2008 South Cambs responded as follows

The spatial strategy for municipal waste has largely been determined through the Waste Management Strategies that have been prepared by the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Authorities in their roles as Waste Disposal Authorities. The County adopted a Cambridgeshire Household Recycling Strategy in December 2006 which 'sets out the Authority's strategy for delivering these facilities as a resource to the public, and as a critical aid to meeting statutory waste to landfill diversion targets. This Strategy has been supplemented by further work which has refined the need and best locations for local recycling centres in Cambridgeshire.' (paragraph 6.45 Page These strategies and additional work are not part of this consultation and it is regrettable that they have not been included as supporting documents as it would have assisted the understanding of the recycling centres strategy. South Cambridgeshire District Council considers that these should have been part of the Preferred Options 2 consultation and been subject to a sustainability appraisal. The MWCS identifies Cambridge East; Cambridge North; Cambridge South and Northstowe as preferred allocations for household Recycling Centres. These preferred locations appear to have been decided upon through the County's Recycling Strategy- a non-statutory document.

- These documents have still not been included as supporting ones to the current MWCS and the Council remains concerned about this omission. This omission may provide the basis for a legal challenge at the adoption stage of the plan.
- In paragraph 7.45 of MWCS it states 'An essential element of the network of waste facilities is waste transfer stations which bulk up and transfer waste of different types onwards for treatment and disposal. They tend to be small scale but are important in securing sustainable waste management. They will therefore be encouraged wherever this is appropriate.' It is intended that these be considered under **Policy CS18 Waste Management Proposals Outside Allocated Areas**' however if they are an essential element then why have they not been included in site allocations within the MWDP? In considering other waste facilities the emphasis has been one of flexibility and therefore it would seem more appropriate to include a strategy for this type of facility within the plan.

Planning for waste management in new developments and RECAP Waste Guide SPD

27 **Policy CS28 Waste Minimisation, Re-use and Resource Recovery** is about how the Waste Planning Authority will encourage waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery in new developments across the plan area. It is a fundamental one to

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MWCS mentioned here is that of Preferred Option 2, 2008.

achieving improvements in the management of waste in future residential and commercial developments. Much emphasis is placed upon the RECAP Waste Management Design Supplementary Planning Document, which is out for consultation alongside the proposed Submission MWDP. The success in achieving the requirements of CS28 will rest on the contents of this SPD and how clearly it outlines the requirements for waste management with future developments. South Cambs is concerned that the contents of the SPD are not robust enough to achieve this. This SPD is being considered in a separate report at 2 March Joint Portfolio Holders meeting.

- Policy CS28 states that new developments should contribute to the provision of 'bring sites' consistent with the RECAP Waste Management Design Guide SPD. It is not made clear that 'bring sites' are the responsibility of District Councils or indeed what a 'bring site' is. If new developments are to contribute to provide bring sites then there needs to be more information in the supporting text to Policy CS28.
- Policy CS16 Household Recycling Centre states that 'New developments will contribute to the provision of HRCs. Contributions will be consistent with RECAP Design Management Guide SPD.' The Council is concerned that this contribution is included in a policy since the provision of HRC is the responsibility of the County Council under the Refuse Disposal (Amenity) Act 1978 and the MWDP has made provision for 9 additional HRCs to meet future demands. Planning obligations could not be used to provide for additional sites if more growth is planned for through the Review of the East of England Plan. The MWDP would need to be reviewed to take into account this planned growth. It could have major implications for the whole waste strategy and as such should be appropriately planned for.
- There is also a sentence at the end of *Policy CS18 Waste Management Proposals Outside Allocated Areas* which states that.' All strategic development will make provision for permanent waste management.' In the supporting text paragraph 7.46 it states that this relates to any large-scale development in future plans such as new settlements or large extensions to urban areas. If such proposals are planned in the future such as through a review of the Regional Spatial Strategy then if they are of a large scale the MWDP would need to be reviewed to make any necessary allocations allocations for minerals and waste developments cannot be made in the District Councils Development Plan Documents (DPDs).

# **Waste Water Treatment Safeguarding Areas**

31 In the MWCS it is recognised that wastewater treatment works (WWTW) are likely to create odours that may be a nuisance to people living and working nearby and therefore a safeguarding area is to be created around all works with a capacity exceeding 2000 population equivalent. Within the safeguarded area 'there will be a presumption against allowing any new development which involves odour sensitive development. Odour sensitive development includes buildings normally occupied by people and would include houses, offices, industrial units, sport and recreational buildings.' The safeguarding area will extend to 400 metres around the boundary of the site. There is no reasoned justification for this distance and there would appear to be no evidence presented to explain why 400 metres and not another arbitrary distance has been identified or why development within the whole circumference of a WWTW needs to be blighted in this way. For example the prevailing wind towards Cambridge WWTW (Site I) is from the south- west, which results in any odour primarily, affecting the area to the north east of the A14 rather than the businesses to the west and south. South Cambs is concerned that there is no evidence base for the 400 metres.

# Waste site allocations

There are no sites within South Cambridgeshire that have been allocated for a strategic use for waste however there is a strategic allocation for a hazardous waste facility at Addenbrookes Hospital that could have an impact upon this district and therefore is considered in this report. There are however 'broad locations' within one policy that affects South Cambs – **Policy CS16 for Household Recycling Centres**. Maps showing the location of the sites are included in Appendix 1.

Table 2

Site location (Proposals Map number in brackets)	Nature of waste facility; Policy Ref from Core Strategy and Site Specific Proposals DPD and number	Summary of South Cambs comments
Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge (Waste) Map A 3	Replacement Clinical Waste Facility  CS 19 The Location of Hazardous Waste Facilities – Resources Recovery and Landfill Type of facility Replacement Clinical Waste Facility (energy from waste)  CS30 Waste Consultation area	Support. This waste management facility is based on the Hospital's needs and can be supported.
Cambridge East (Map inset 41)	Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres  Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres -Broad locations listed in policy  Policy SSP W1E Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Potential uses include — Recycling facility; Household Recycling Centre; Temporary Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies; Materials Recovery Facility  Policy SSP W8H	Support the uses being proposed for the site. Cambridge East will be the largest single development in the Cambridge Sub-Region over the next 15 years and it is to be planned at high densities and therefore the location and design of the proposed waste management facilities must have regard to this. Support would be subject to consideration of the matters raised by Environmental Health, which are that the proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.  The inert recycling facility is supported however it should be recognised that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Cambridge East. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health, which are that the proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.  The facilities should not be located so that they impact upon the existing communities

Site location (Proposals Map number in brackets)	Nature of waste facility; Policy Ref from Core Strategy and Site Specific Proposals DPD and number	Summary of South Cambs comments
	Waste Consultation Areas	within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham particularly the temporary inert recycling facility.  South Cambs request that a site – specific policy be included for Cambridge East to include those issues mentioned above relating to mitigation matters and impact on surrounding communities.
Cambridge Northern Fringe Map inset 42	Household Recycling Centres  Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres -Broad locations listed in policy  Policy SSP W1F Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Potential uses include – Household Recycling Centre; Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies;	Support in principle the use of the site for a Recycling Centre and for inert waste recycling subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation, which are to ensure the development has a minimal environmental impact by incorporating mitigation measures preferably at the design stage of the development.  South Cambs request that a site-specific policy is included for this site and that this incorporates all the issues mentioned that would need to be addressed by a planning application.
	Policy SSP W8I Waste Consultation Areas	
Northstowe Area 2 Map inset 57	Household Recycling Centres  Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres Broad location listed  Policy SSP W1U Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery –a Household	Support in principle subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health, which are that at the design stage consideration, is given to minimise the environmental impact of the development. Any household-recycling centre must also ensure that settlements adjoining Northstowe are not adversely impacted by noise or odour. The Northstowe Area Action acknowledges the suitability of a proposed general employment area at the northern end of the new town site (adjoining the proposed Park & Ride site).
	Recycling Centre Policy SSP W8AQ Waste Consultation Areas	South Cambs request that a site-specific policy be included in the MWSSP to cover the issues identified above relating to the impact of the facility on adjoining settlements and mitigation measures needed.

Site location (Proposals Map number in brackets)	Nature of waste facility; Policy Ref from Core Strategy and Site Specific Proposals DPD and number	Summary of South Cambs comments
South of	Household Recycling	No objection subject to measures to mitigate
Addenbrookes Access Road	Centres	the matters raised by Environmental Health.  These are that appropriate buffer zones will be
Access Noau	Policy CS 16 Household	required in conjunction with careful design /
Map inset 60	Recycling Centres Broad location listed	layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and
	Broad location listed	to protect health and wellbeing of residents.
	Policy SSP W1X	As this is a new development it should be
	Site specific allocations for	possible to ensure minimal environmental
	waste recycling and recovery facilities	impact at the design stage by incorporating intrinsic mitigation measures.
	Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery –a Household Recycling Centre	The Council supports the identification of the site for a recycling centre and welcomes the additional information provided by the County as regards how the facility will be designed to
	Policy SSP W8AV Waste Consultation Areas	reduce its impact on the surrounding area.
		South Cambs request that a site-specific
		policy be included in the MWSSP. This policy should include both the mitigation measures
		raised by Environmental Health and the design
		issues outlined by the County to reduce the
		impact of the facility on the surrounding area.

#### MINERALS AND WASTE SITE SPECIFIC PROPOSALS DPD (MWSSP)

As a result of the additional consultations carried out in 2009 there are new sites within the proposed Submission MWSSP notably a number of engineering clay borrow pit sites to serve the improvements to the A14 and additional inert waste sites.

# Mineral Safeguarding Areas (Policy CS25)

Mineral Safeguarding Areas have been included in the MWDP and their purpose is to ensure that mineral resources are adequately protected and effectively considered in land use planning decisions so like other finite resources they are not needlessly sterilized. Within these areas the Mineral Planning Authority (MPA) must be consulted when planning applications are made for major developments<sup>4</sup>. These areas were included in the previous MWSSP and South Cambs expressed its concerns at the extent of the safeguarding areas within this district. In October 2008 the Council stated

Within South Cambridgeshire there are proposed very large areas of mineral safeguarding for sand and gravel, which surround many settlements... It should be emphasized that there is no presumption that the land safeguarded will ever be worked for the extraction of minerals. However the policy that accompanies MSAs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The definition of major development is that found in the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995

does not emphasis this point and it would be beneficial to add in order to reduce the potential confusion by those communities directly affected by these areas.

- The policy has been revised but not to take into account this request by South Cambs and therefore it is necessary to restate this requested amendment to clarify that they are areas of potential mineral resource which may be considered in the future but that all material planning considerations will be taken into account in any decision whether or not to permit extraction.
- Also in October 2008 the Council was concerned that some of the proposed safeguarding areas cover sites that are affected by specific non-minerals related development policies. This related especially to
  - Northstowe
  - Established employment areas including the Cambridge Research Park, Landbeach, and Granta Park, Great Abington and
  - Bayer Cropscience at Hauxton.
- 37 In October 2008 the Council stated the following -

Given that these sites are within adopted DPDs it would seem confusing to suggest that the proposed mineral safeguarding areas should continue to be allocated over these sites.... the methodology should be revised to take into account existing allocations.

- The revision to the policy now includes a list of criteria a development must demonstrate to the MPA before it will be permitted in a MSA. One of these criteria is that the development is allocated in other adopted local development documents. The Council therefore welcomes this amendment to the policy however it is still a requirement that any development coming forward in these areas must carry out consultation with the MPA to ensure consideration is given to the mineral resource.
- 39 The Council also expressed its concern in October 2008 that
  - '...there are extensive areas of sand and gravel identified in MSAs around the Shelfords, Grantchester and Newnham areas where it is important to protect the landscape character and setting of Cambridge. The MSAs also impact on many villages that have conservation areas where the setting of these areas must be preserved such as Little Shelford; Whittleford; Foxton; Duxford; Horningsea. Whilst the Council can accept that there may be reserves, there are over-riding planning and environmental reasons why they should never be worked. The methodology used for identifying MSAs must be flawed if such areas must continue to be included. Likewise environmentally sensitive areas such as the Gog Magog Hills/ Wandlebury Country Park, Grantchester Meadows and Denny Abbey should be excluded from MSAs. By including such areas to be safeguarded it creates concern amongst the local communities surrounded by such areas. Such reserves cannot ever be considered as economic resources because they have too high a value attached to them for environmental reasons. The MSAs boundaries should be revised accordingly.
- The safeguarding areas have not been revised and South Cambs is disappointed that the methodology does not take into account the importance of these environmental reasons.

#### **Implications**

Financial	None
Legal	The Council will be obliged to show Mineral and Waste allocations and safeguarding areas for minerals on its own LDF Proposals Map once the Minerals and Waste Development Plan is adopted
Staffing	None
Risk Management	There is a risk that the MWDP could include allocations for land not acceptable to the Council for example waste management issues could prevail over amenity and other planning considerations
Equal Opportunities	None

#### **Consultations**

Consultations have taken place with officers in Environmental Health; Development Control and New Communities.

## **Effect on Strategic Aims**

1. Commitment to being a listening council, providing first class services accessible to all.

There are some concerns relating to the MWDP that the Council can highlight when it responds to the consultation on behalf of the affected communities within the district.

Commitment to ensuring that South Cambridgeshire continues to be a safe and healthy place for all.

The Council by responding to the consultation will be able to ensure that the MWDP includes policies that can continue to keep the district a safe and healthy place for all and by implementing the policies on waste and minerals will in the future endeavour to improve the environment.

Commitment to making South Cambridgeshire a place in which residents can feel proud to live.

By responding to the consultation the Council will ensure that the most sustainable sites are allocated and that in identifying sites the local communities are not adversely affected by the subsequent working of the minerals.

Commitment to assisting provision for local jobs for all.

By responding to the consultation the Council can ensure that the planning for minerals and waste within the district assists the growth agenda and future development within the district. This will ensure that a good economic environment is promoted within the district thereby assisting in keeping and creating local jobs within South Cambs.

Commitment to providing a voice for rural life.

The Council in responding to the consultation will be able to voice the concerns of communities that may be affected by the proposals within the MWDP.

# **Conclusions/Summary**

South Cambs has responded to each stage in the consultation on the MWDP, which has now reached its proposed Submission stage. This reports highlights the particular issues that South Cambs is concerned about relating to both mineral and waste matters. It has also considered each site allocated for a particular use in the MWDP and made detailed comments on each where appropriate. The Council is aware that this is the last opportunity to make such comments and for some sites is

disappointed that not more information was provided in order to assist in assessing the sites suitability for a particular use.

#### Recommendations

The Portfolio holders for Planning and New Communities are recommended to agree the responses to the Minerals and Waste Development Plan consultation contained within the report and in Appendices 2,3 and 4.

**Background Papers:** the following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

South Cambs response to Preferred Options Consultation of the Minerals and Waste Development Plan – Cabinet Report 14 December 2006

South Cambs response to the Preferred Options 2 Consultation of the Minerals and Waste Development Plan. – Cabinet Report 9 October 2008 (September 2008)

South Cambs response to the New Sites proposed during Preferred Options 2 consultation March 2009. – Joint New Communities and Planning Portfolio report (10 March 2009)

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strategy DPD Submission Plan 2010

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Site Specific Proposals DPD Submission Plan 2010.

**Contact Officer:** Alison Talkington – Senior Planning Policy Officer

Telephone: (01954) 713182

### **Appendices**

1 - Maps of the locations of the relevant sites for South Cambs.;2 - Schedule of mineral sites allocated in South Cambridgeshire; 3 - Schedule of waste sites allocated in South Cambridgeshire; 4- Schedule of detailed comments on the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy DPD and Site Specific Proposals DPD; 5 - Comments submitted about the borrow pits identified in the Preferred Option 2 consultation in March 2009